

THE CAEP ACCREDITATION COUNCIL

Bradley Duncan; Accreditation Associate, CAEP

Vince O'Neill; Accreditation Director, CAEP



Washington, District of Columbia
September 2017

OBJECTIVE

GAIN AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE
CAEP ACCREDITATION COUNCIL AND
ACCREDITATION DECISION MAKING PROCESS

OVERVIEW

- Purpose
- Timelines
- Responsibilities
- Structure
- Role(s)
- Decisions

PURPOSE

- Oversight of accreditation policies
- Review & evaluate EPPs
- Handle complaints against accredited EPPs
- Develop a quality assurance system

TIMELINES

- Council meets twice a year to review cases
- Reviews occur semester after site visit
- Decision notification within 30 days
- Stipulation removal

ACCREDITATION COUNCIL STRUCTURE

- Fluctuating number of councilors
 - P12 practitioners, higher education, policy makers, and public representatives
 - Alternates
- Committees
- Vice Chair
- Chair

ROLES

Various stakeholders involved


- CAEP Staff
 - Liaison between Council and EPP
- Councilors
 - Conduct reviews (e.g. panel reviews, stipulation removal)
 - Serve on committees
- Lead Site Visitors
 - Participates in initial panels
- EPP Representatives
 - Observe initial panel


REVIEW AND EVALUATE

- Council reviews materials related to site visit
 - Self-study report
 - Formative Feedback Report
 - Site Visit Report
 - Addendum
 - Rejoinder
- Final decision
 - Areas for Improvement/ Stipulations
 - Standards met/unmet

THREE STEP REVIEW PROCESS







APPEALS

Oversight by Appeals Council

- Adverse action only
- Separate entity from Council

QUESTIONS?

Bradley.Duncan@caepnet.org

Vince.ONeill@caepnet.org