

# ACCREDITATION ACTION REPORT

Education Department
Defiance College
Defiance, Ohio

Accreditation Council April 2020 Accreditation Application Date: 9/25/2006

This is the official record of the Educator Preparation Provider's accreditation status. The Educator Preparation Provider should retain this document for at least two accreditation cycles.

#### ACCREDITATION DECISION

**Accreditation** is granted at the initial-licensure level. This Accreditation status is effective between Spring 2020 and Spring 2027. The next site visit will take place in Fall 2026.

#### SUMMARY OF STANDARDS

CAEP STANDARDS	INITIAL-LICENSURE LEVEL	ADVANCED LEVEL
STANDARD 1/A.1: Content and Pedagogical Knowledge	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 2/A.2: Clinical Partnerships and Practice	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 3/A.3: Candidate Quality, Recruitment, And Selectivity	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 4/A.4: Program Impact	Met	Not Applicable
STANDARD 5/A.5: Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement	Met	Not Applicable

#### AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT AND STIPULATIONS

**Areas for Improvement**: Identified areas for improvement are addressed in the provider's annual report.

**Stipulations**: Stipulations are addressed in the provider's annual report and must be corrected within two years to retain accreditation.

## INITIAL-LICENSURE LEVEL AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT AND STIPULATIONS

### **STANDARD 1: Content and Pedagogical Knowledge**

	Areas for Improvement	Rationale
1	The EPP provided limited evidence of candidate use of research and evidence to develop an understanding of the teaching profession and use both to measure their P-12 student's progress and their own professional practice.	The data provided are insufficient and did not include data to demonstrate performance at or above acceptable level on framework levels.

	(Component 1.2)	
2	The EPP provided limited evidence that candidates model and apply technology standards as they design, implement and assess learning experiences to engage students and improve learning and enrich professional practice. (Component 1.5)	There was not explicit alignment to technology standards in the assessments and related data for this component. Limited data related to technology was provided from one criterion on the CPAST. The lesson plan template does not ensure candidates can demonstrate the ability to implement and assess learning experiences.

## **STANDARD 3: Candidate Quality, Recruitment, And Selectivity**

	Areas for Improvement	Rationale
1	The EPP provided an insufficient plan for recruitment of diverse candidates who meet employment needs. (Component 3.1)	The EPP provided a recruitment plan that did not provide sufficient detail about recruitment activities and the yield of those activities to meet needs.

#### **STANDARD 4: Program Impact**

	Areas for Improvement	Rationale
1	The EPP provided limited evidence to demonstrate that completers are satisfied with their preparation. (Component 4.4)	Completer satisfaction data is provided, but the EPP does not provide a sufficient plan for interpretation or trend analysis of the data so future programmatic decisions can be made.

## **STANDARD 5: Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement**

	Areas for Improvement	Rationale
1	The EPP provided limited evidence that it systematically assess performance against its goals and standards and tracks results over time. (Component 5.3)	Limited information is provided which documents program evaluation, particularly how the data collected is leveraged for program evaluation, improvement, and identification of models of excellence. Patterns and trends identified for data analysis is limited.
2	The EPP provided insufficient plans for analysis and completer impact data. (Component 5.4)	EPP provided two measures of impact data, however, the plans for data quality and use for program improvement did not indicate how trends will be identified or used for program improvement.

## INFORMATION ABOUT ACCREDITATION STATUSES

**Accreditation** for seven (7) years is granted if the EPP meets all CAEP Standards and components, even if areas for improvement (AFIs) are identified in the final report of the Accreditation Council.

• Areas for Improvement (AFIs) indicate areas which must be improved by the time of the next accreditation visit. Progress reports on remediation of AFIs are submitted as part of the Annual

Report. AFIs not remediated by a subsequent site visit may become stipulations.

**Accreditation with stipulations is granted for 2 years** if an EPP meets all standards but receives a stipulation on a component under any standard. Failure to submit a response to the stipulation within a two (2)-year time frame results in revocation. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation within the specified two (2)-year period results in revocation or probation.

• **Stipulations** describe serious deficiencies in meeting CAEP Standards and/or components and must be brought into compliance in order to continue accreditation. All stipulations and relevant evidence are reviewed by the Accreditation Council. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation results in probation or revocation of accreditation.

**Probationary Accreditation** is granted for two (2) years when an EPP does not meet one (1) of the CAEP Standards. Failure to submit a response to the stipulation within a two (2)-year time frame results in revocation. Failure to correct the condition leading to the stipulation within the specified two (2)-year period results in revocation.

#### SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

The scope of CAEP's work is the accreditation of educator preparation providers (EPPs) that offer bachelor's, master's, and/or doctoral degrees, post-baccalaureate or other programs leading to certification, licensure, or endorsement in the United States and/or internationally. (2018).

CAEP does not accredit specific degree programs, rather EPPs must include information, data, and other evidence on the following in their submission for CAEP's review:

All licensure areas that prepare candidates to work in preschool through grade 12 settings at the initial-licensure and advanced level that lead to professional licensure, certification, or endorsement as defined by the state, country, or other governing authority under which the EPP operates and for which the state, country, or other governing authority has established program approval standards.

Depending on an EPP's submission, accreditation may be awarded at one or both of the following levels: Initial-Licensure Level and/or Advanced-Level.

- 1. **Initial-Licensure Level Accreditation** is provided at the baccalaureate or post-baccalaureate levels leading to initial-licensure, certification, or endorsement that are designed to develop P-12 teachers.
- 2. Advanced-Level Accreditation is provided at the post-baccalaureate or graduate levels leading to licensure, certification, or endorsement. Advanced-Level Programs are designed to develop P-12 teachers who have already completed an initial-licensure program, currently licensed administrators, or other certified (or similar state language) school professionals for employment in P-12 schools/districts. CAEP's Advanced-Level accreditation does not include any advanced-level program not specific to the preparation of teachers or other school professionals for P-12 schools/districts; any advanced-level non-licensure programs, including those specific to content areas (e.g., M.A., M.S., Ph.D.); or Educational leadership programs not specific to the preparation of teachers or other school professionals for P-12 schools/districts.

Information on accreditation status, terms, and any conditions provided within this directory is specific to the accreditation level(s) described above. CAEP-accredited EPPs are required to distinguish accurately

between programs that are accredited and those that are not.

NOTE: Neither CAEP staff, site visitors, nor other agents of CAEP are empowered to make or modify Accreditation Council decisions. These remain the sole responsibility of the Council itself.

**End of Action Report**